

STAT6018 Research Frontiers in Data Science

Topic II: Introduction to empirical process theory

Yu Gu, PhD
Assistant Professor

Department of Statistics & Actuarial Science
The University of Hong Kong

Table of Contents

- 1 Chapter 2: Glivenko-Cantelli and Donsker Theorems
 - Glivenko-Cantelli theorems
 - Donsker theorems
 - Preservation results

Table of Contents

- 1 Chapter 2: Glivenko-Cantelli and Donsker Theorems
 - Glivenko-Cantelli theorems
 - Donsker theorems
 - Preservation results

Glivenko-Cantelli (GC) class

Definition 1 (GC class)

A function class \mathcal{F} is called P -GC if

$$\|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$$

under the probability measure P .

- $\|Q\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |Qf|$
- **uniform** almost sure convergence across \mathcal{F}

GC theorem with bracketing

Bracket number $N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|)$:

- minimum number of brackets $[\ell, u]$ with $\|\ell - u\| < \epsilon$ needed to cover \mathcal{F}
- entropy with bracketing: $\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|)$

Theorem 2 (GC with bracketing)

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of P -measurable functions such that

$$N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_1(P)) < \infty, \quad \text{for every } \epsilon > 0.$$

Then \mathcal{F} is P -GC.

GC theorem with bracketing (cont.)

Proof.

For every $f \in [\ell_i, u_i]$, we have

$$\begin{cases} (\mathbb{P}_n - P)f \leq \mathbb{P}_n u_i - P \ell_i \leq (\mathbb{P}_n - P)u_i + \|u_i - \ell_i\|_{L_1(P)} \\ (\mathbb{P}_n - P)f \geq \mathbb{P}_n \ell_i - P u_i \geq (\mathbb{P}_n - P)\ell_i - \|u_i - \ell_i\|_{L_1(P)} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (\mathbb{P}_n - P)f \leq \max_i (\mathbb{P}_n - P)u_i + \epsilon \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \epsilon \\ \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (\mathbb{P}_n - P)f \geq \min_i (\mathbb{P}_n - P)\ell_i - \epsilon \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} -\epsilon \end{cases} \quad (\text{by SLLN})$$

$\Rightarrow \limsup_n \|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \epsilon$ almost surely.

Letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ yields the desired result. □

GC theorem without bracketing

Covering number $N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|)$:

- minimum number of balls $B(f; \epsilon) := \{g : \|g - f\| \leq \epsilon\}$ needed to cover \mathcal{F}
- entropy without bracketing: $\log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|)$

Envelope function F : $|f(x)| \leq F(x)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$

Theorem 3 (GC without bracketing)

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of P -measurable functions with envelope F such that $PF < \infty$. Let \mathcal{F}_M be the class of functions $f \mathbb{1}\{F \leq M\}$ when f ranges over \mathcal{F} . Then \mathcal{F} is P -GC if and only if

$$n^{-1} \log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_M, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n)) \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad \forall \epsilon, M > 0.$$

GC theorem without bracketing (cont.)

Symmetrization (Theorem 1.26):

$$E \|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq 2E \|\mathbb{P}_n^\circ\|_{\mathcal{F}}$$

Proof of sufficiency.

$$\begin{aligned} E \|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} &\leq 2E_X E_\varepsilon \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i f(X_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}} && \text{(symmetrization)} \\ &\leq 2E_X E_\varepsilon \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i f(X_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}_M} + 2P[F\mathbb{1}\{F > M\}] && \text{(triangle inequality)} \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently large M , $P[F\mathbb{1}\{F > M\}]$ is arbitrarily small.

GC theorem without bracketing (cont.)

Maximal inequality for Rademacher linear combinations (Corollary 1.25):

$$E \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\xi_i| \leq C \sqrt{\log N} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \|a^{(i)}\|$$

Proof of sufficiency (cont.)

Let \mathcal{G} denote the ϵ -cover associated with $N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_M, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n))$. For any $f \in \mathcal{F}_M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i f(X_i) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i g(X_i) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i [f(X_i) - g(X_i)] \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i g(X_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{G}} + \epsilon \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\frac{\log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_M, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n))}{n}} \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}_n g^2} + \epsilon \quad (\text{maximal inequality}) \\ &\xrightarrow{p} \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

GC theorem without bracketing (cont.)

Proof of sufficiency (cont.)

Letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ yields $\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i)\|_{\mathcal{F}_M} \xrightarrow{P} 0$. Since $\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i)\|_{\mathcal{F}_M} \leq M$, it follows by the dominated convergence theorem that $E_X E_\epsilon \|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i)\|_{\mathcal{F}_M} \rightarrow 0$.

Thus, we conclude that $E \|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow 0$.

By Lemma 2.4.5 of VW, $\|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a reverse sub-martingale, thus converges almost surely to a constant, which must be 0 by the convergence in mean. \square

GC theorem with uniform covering

Corollary 4

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of P -measurable functions with envelope F such that $PF < \infty$. Then \mathcal{F} is P -GC if

$$\sup_Q N(\epsilon \|F\|_{L_1(Q)}, \mathcal{F}, L_1(Q)) < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0,$$

where the supremum is over all probability measures Q with $0 < QF < \infty$.

Proof.

Assume that $PF > 0$ (otherwise the result is trivial). There exists an $\eta \in (0, \infty)$ such that $1/\eta < \mathbb{P}_n F < \eta$ for all n large enough. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a K_ϵ such that with probability 1,

$$\log N(\epsilon \eta, \mathcal{F}, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n)) \leq \log N(\epsilon \mathbb{P}_n F, \mathcal{F}, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n)) \leq K_\epsilon$$

for all n large enough. Thus, for any $\epsilon, M > 0$,

$$\log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_M, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n)) \leq \log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n)) = O_p(1).$$

The desired result follows by Theorem 3. □

Table of Contents

- 1 Chapter 2: Glivenko-Cantelli and Donsker Theorems
 - Glivenko-Cantelli theorems
 - Donsker theorems
 - Preservation results

Donsker class

Definition 5

A function class \mathcal{F} is called P -Donsker if

$$\mathbb{G}_n = \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{P}_n - P) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{G},$$

where \mathbb{G} is a tight^a random element in $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{F})$.

^a $\Leftrightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists$ a compact set $V_\epsilon \in \ell^\infty(\mathcal{F})$ s.t. $P(\mathbb{G}f \in V_\epsilon) > 1 - \epsilon$, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

- The multivariate CLT ensures marginal convergence of \mathbb{G}_n :

$$(\mathbb{G}_n f_1, \dots, \mathbb{G}_n f_k) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma), \quad \forall (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \mathcal{F}$$

- It follows that $\{\mathbb{G}f : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ must be a mean-zero Gaussian process with covariance function $E\{\mathbb{G}f_1 \mathbb{G}f_2\} = \Sigma(f_1, f_2)$.
- This and tightness determine \mathbb{G} to be a *P -Brownian bridge* in $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{F})$ ¹.

¹By Lemma 1.5.3 of VW.

Donsker with asymptotic equi-continuity

To prove the Donsker property by definition, we usually need to check:

- Marginal convergence (guaranteed by multivariate CLT)
- Tightness of the limiting process \mathbb{G} , which is equivalent to both of the following:
 - ▶ *Total boundedness* of (\mathcal{F}, d) , i.e., $N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, d) < \infty$ for every $\epsilon > 0$
 - ▶ *Asymptotic equicontinuity* of (\mathcal{F}, d) , i.e., for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^* \left(\sup_{d(f,g) \leq \delta; f,g \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathbb{G}_n(f - g)| > \epsilon \right) = 0$$

The semi-metric d is usually chosen as the $L_2(P)$ distance, and \mathbb{P}^* is outer probability², which behaves like usual probabilities in most cases.

²the infimum of the probabilities of all measurable sets that contain the event.

Donsker with asymptotic equi-continuity (cont.)

This is formally stated in the following theorem, which follows immediately from the result of weak convergence of stochastic processes.

Theorem 6 (Donsker with asymptotic equi-continuity)

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of measurable, square-integrable functions from \mathcal{X} to \mathbb{R} such that $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |f(x) - Pf| < \infty, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$. Then $\{\mathbb{G}_n f : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ converges weakly to a tight random element if and only if there exists a semi-metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ on \mathcal{F} such that (\mathcal{F}, d) is totally bounded and for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^* \left(\sup_{d(f,g) \leq \delta; f,g \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathbb{G}_n(f - g)| > \epsilon \right) = 0.$$

Bracketing entropy integral

- In many cases, bracketing numbers grow to infinity as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$.
- Sufficient condition for Donsker class: bracketing numbers do not grow too fast with $1/\epsilon$
- **Bracketing entropy integral** measures the speed of growth:

$$J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, L_r(P)) := \int_0^\delta \sqrt{\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_r(P))} d\epsilon$$

- The above integral converges when the bracketing entropy grows with order slower than $1/\epsilon^2$.

Donsker theorem with bracketing

Theorem 7 (Donsker with bracketing)

Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a class of measurable functions satisfying

$$J_{[]}^*(1, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P)) < \infty.$$

Then \mathcal{F} is P -Donsker.

Donsker theorem with bracketing (cont.)

The proof of Theorem 7 uses the following maximal inequality:

Lemma 8 (Maximal inequality)

For any class \mathcal{F} of measurable functions $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $Pf^2 < \delta^2$,

$$E^* \|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P)) + \sqrt{n}P^*[F\mathbb{1}\{F > \sqrt{na}(\delta)\}],$$

where $x \lesssim y$ means $x \leq cy$ for some constant $c > 0$, F is an envelope function of \mathcal{F} , and $a(\delta) = \delta / \sqrt{\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P))}$.

See Lemma 19.34 of van der Vaart (1998)³ for the proof.

³ van der Vaart, A. W. (1998). *Asymptotic statistics, volume 3 of Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Donsker theorem with bracketing (cont.)

Proof of Theorem 7.

$\forall \epsilon > 0$, $N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P))$ is finite, so $(\mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_{L_2(P)})$ is totally bounded.

Define $\mathcal{G} = \{f - g : f, g \in \mathcal{F}\}$. It is easy to see that $G = 2F$ is an envelope for \mathcal{G} and $N_{[]}^2(2\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, L_2(P)) \leq N_{[]}^2(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P))$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_\delta = \{f - g : f, g \in \mathcal{F}, \|f - g\|_{L_2(P)} \leq \delta\}$. By Lemma 8, there exists a finite number $a(\delta) = \delta / \sqrt{\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}_\delta, L_2(P))}$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^* \|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{G}_\delta} &\lesssim J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{G}_\delta, L_2(P)) + \sqrt{n} P[G \mathbb{1}\{G > a(\delta)\sqrt{n}\}] \\ &\leq J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{G}, L_2(P)) + \sqrt{n} P[G \mathbb{1}\{G > a(\delta)\sqrt{n}\}]. \end{aligned}$$

The second term on RHS is bounded by $a(\delta)^{-1} P[G^2 \mathbb{1}\{G > a(\delta)\sqrt{n}\}]$ and hence converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every δ .

By assumption, $J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{G}, L_2(P)) \lesssim J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P)) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Thus, by Markov's inequality, the asymptotic equi-continuity condition holds.

The desired result then follows by Theorem 6. □

Uniform entropy integral

Like GC theorems, an alternative sufficient condition for Donsker property is based on the **uniform entropy integral**:

$$J(\delta, \mathcal{F}, F) = \int_0^\delta \sup_Q \sqrt{\log N(\epsilon \|F\|_{L_2(Q)}, \mathcal{F}, L_2(Q))} d\epsilon,$$

where F is an envelope of \mathcal{F} , and the supremum is taken over all finitely discrete probability measures Q with $QF^2 > 0$.

Donsker theorem without bracketing

Theorem 9 (Donsker without bracketing)

Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a pointwise-measurable class of measurable functions satisfying $PF^2 < \infty$ and

$$J(1, \mathcal{F}, F) < \infty.$$

Then \mathcal{F} is P -Donsker.

The pointwise-measurable condition suffices that there exists a countable collection \mathcal{G} of functions such that each f is the pointwise limit of a sequence g_m in \mathcal{G} (see Example 2.3.4 of VW for details).

Donsker theorem without bracketing (cont.)

The proof of Theorem 9 uses the following maximal inequality:

Lemma 10 (Maximal inequality)

Suppose $0 < \|F\|_{L_2(P)} < \infty$, let σ^2 be any positive constant s.t. $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} Pf^2 \leq \sigma^2 \leq \|F\|_{L_2(P)}^2$. Let $\delta = \sigma / \|F\|_{L_2(P)}$ and $B = \sqrt{E \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} F^2(X_i)}$. Then,

$$E\|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim J(\delta, \mathcal{F}, F)\|F\|_{L_2(P)} + \frac{BJ^2(\delta, \mathcal{F}, F)}{\delta^2 \sqrt{n}}.$$

See Chernozhukov et al. (2014)⁴ for the proof.

⁴Chernozhukov, V., Chetverikov, D., and Kato, K. (2014). Gaussian approximation of suprema of empirical processes. *Ann. Statist.*, 42(4):1564–1597.

Donsker theorem without bracketing (cont.)

Proof of Theorem 9.

We first show that $(\mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_{L_2(P)})$ is totally bounded. For any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $f_1, \dots, f_N \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $P(f_i - f_j)^2 > \epsilon^2 PF^2$, for every $i \neq j$. By LLN,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_n(f_i - f_j)^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} P(f_i - f_j)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}_n F^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} PF^2 \\ \Rightarrow & \mathbb{P}_n(f_i - f_j)^2 > \epsilon^2 PF^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \mathbb{P}_n F^2 < 2PF^2, \quad \text{for some large } n \\ \Rightarrow & \mathbb{P}_n(f_i - f_j)^2 > \epsilon^2 \mathbb{P}_n F^2 / 2 \\ \Rightarrow & N \leq D(\epsilon \|F\|_{L_2(P_n)} / \sqrt{2}, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P_n)) < \infty. \quad \text{(by assumption)} \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $N = D(\epsilon \|F\|_{L_2(P)}, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P))$ yields the total boundedness.

Donsker theorem without bracketing (cont.)

Proof of Theorem 9 (cont.)

To verify the asymptotic equi-continuity condition, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{G}_\delta} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_\delta = \{f - g : f, g \in \mathcal{F}, \|f - g\|_{L_2(P)} \leq \delta\}$.

We observe that \mathcal{G}_δ has envelope $2F$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_Q N(\epsilon \|2F\|_{L_2(Q)}, \mathcal{G}_\delta, L_2(Q)) &\leq \sup_Q N(\epsilon \|2F\|_{L_2(Q)}, \mathcal{G}_\infty, L_2(Q)) \\ &\leq \sup_Q N^2(\epsilon \|F\|_{L_2(Q)}, \mathcal{F}, L_2(Q)), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to $J(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}_\delta, 2F) \lesssim J(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, F)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Donsker theorem without bracketing (cont.)

Proof of Theorem 9 (cont.)

Hence by Lemma 10 with $\sigma = \delta$ and envelope $2F$, we have

$$E\|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{G}_\delta} \leq C \left\{ J(\delta', \mathcal{F}, F)\|F\|_{L_2(P)} + \frac{B_n J^2(\delta', \mathcal{F}, F)}{\delta'^2 \sqrt{n}} \right\},$$

where $\delta' = \sigma / (2\|F\|_{L_2(P)})$ and $B_n = 2\sqrt{E \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} F^2(X_i)}$.

Since $PF^2 < \infty$, $B_n = o(\sqrt{n})$. Thus, $\forall \eta > 0$, we can choose δ small s.t.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{G}_\delta} \leq C(\|F\|_{L_2(P)} + 1)\eta.$$

Hence, the asymptotic equi-continuity condition in (1) is satisfied and we complete the proof. □

Discussion

- Theorems 7 and 9 are based on finite bracketing entropy integral and uniform entropy integral, respectively.
- Although bracketing entropy integral involves only the true probability measure P , this gain is offset by the fact that bracketing numbers are usually larger than covering numbers.
- Thus, these two sufficient conditions for Donsker classes are not comparable.

A general Donsker theorem

Define $L_{2,\infty}(P)$ -norm as $\|f\|_{L_{2,\infty}(P)} = \sup_{t>0} \{t^2 P(|f| > t)\}^{1/2}$. Note that $\|f\|_{L_{2,\infty}(P)} \leq \|f\|_{L_2(P)}$. The following general Donsker theorem combines the two entropy integrals:

Theorem 11 (General Donsker theorem)

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of measurable functions such that

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_{2,\infty}(P))} d\epsilon + \int_0^1 \sqrt{\log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P))} d\epsilon < \infty.$$

Moreover, assume that the envelope F of \mathcal{F} satisfies a weak second moment, i.e., $t^2 P^*\{F(X) > t\} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then \mathcal{F} is P -Donsker.

See Theorem 2.5.6 of VW for the proof.

Table of Contents

- 1 Chapter 2: Glivenko-Cantelli and Donsker Theorems
 - Glivenko-Cantelli theorems
 - Donsker theorems
 - Preservation results

GC preservation

Theorem 12 (GC preservation)

Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_k$ are P -GC with $\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|P\|_{\mathcal{F}_j} < \infty$. Then for any continuous transformation $\phi: \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, the class $\mathcal{H} = \phi(\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_k)$ is also P -GC provided it has an integrable envelope.

See Theorem 3 of van der Vaart and Wellner (2000)⁵ for the proof.

⁵ van der Vaart, A., & Wellner, J. A. (2000). Preservation theorems for Glivenko-Cantelli and uniform Glivenko-Cantelli classes. In *High dimensional probability II* (pp. 115-133). Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston.

GC preservation (cont.)

Corollary 13

Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be P -GC with respective integrable envelopes F and G . Then,

- (i) $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G}$ is P -GC.
- (ii) $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{G}$ is P -GC if $P(FG) < \infty$.
- (iii) Any continuous transformation $\phi(\mathcal{F})$ is P -GC provided it has an integrable envelope.

See Corollary 9.27 of Kosorok for the proof.

Closures and convex hulls

For a class \mathcal{F} of measurable functions, define the following operations.

Closure:

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \left\{ f : \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathbb{R} \mid \exists \{f_m\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } f_m \rightarrow f \text{ both pointwise and in } L_2(P) \right\}$$

Symmetric convex hull:

$$\text{sconv}\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i f_i \mid \{f_i\} \in \mathcal{F}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i| \leq 1 \right\}$$

Donsker preservation

Theorem 14 (Donsker preservation)

Let \mathcal{F} be P -Donsker. Then,

- (i) For any $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$, \mathcal{G} is P -Donsker.
- (ii) $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is P -Donsker.
- (iii) $sconv\mathcal{F}$ is P -Donsker.

See Theorems 2.10.1 – 2.10.3 of VW for the proofs.

Donsker preservation (cont.)

The following theorem establishes Donsker preservation under **Lipschitz transformations** and is one of the most useful preservation results:

Theorem 15 (Donsker preservation under Lipschitz transformations)

Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_k$ are Donsker classes with $\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|P\|_{\mathcal{F}_j} < \infty$. Consider any Lipschitz transformation $\phi : \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|\phi \circ f(x) - \phi \circ g(x)|^2 \leq c^2 \sum_{j=1}^k \{f_j(x) - g_j(x)\}^2,$$

for every $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_k$, every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, and some constant $c < \infty$. Then the class $\phi \circ (\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_k)$ is Donsker provided $\phi \circ f$ is square integrable for at least one $f \in \mathcal{F}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_k$.

See Theorem 2.10.6 and pages 196 – 198 of VW for the proof.

Donsker preservation (cont.)

Corollary 16

Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be Donsker classes. Then,

- (i) $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G}$ are Donsker.
- (ii) If $\|P\|_{\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}} < \infty$, then the pairwise infima $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G}$ and the pairwise suprema $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$ are Donsker.
- (iii) If \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are uniformly bounded, then $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{G}$ is Donsker.
- (iv) Any Lipschitz continuous transformation $\phi(\mathcal{F})$ is Donsker, provided $\|\phi(f)\|_{L_2(P)} < \infty$ for at least one $f \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (v) If $\|P\|_{\mathcal{F}} < \infty$ and g is a uniformly bounded, measurable function, then $\mathcal{F} \cdot g$ is Donsker.

See Corollary 9.32 of Kosorok for the proof.